

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,541 11/12/2003		11/12/2003	Gerolf Richter	054821-0875	6887	
26371	26371 7590 08/08/2006			EXAMINER		
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP				BERHANU, SAMUEL		
777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5306				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2838		
				DATE MAILED: 08/08/200	DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/706,541	RICHTER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
Samuel Berhanu				
	Samuel Berhanu	2838		

	Samuel Berhanu	2838	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment otice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	e of Appeal. To avoid aba , affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set flater than SIX MONTHS from the m	ailing date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		THE FIRST NEFET WAS I	ILLD WITTING
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFF stension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approprioriginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
	but prior to the date of filing a b	riof will not be entered b	20001150
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	onsideration and/or search (see ow);	NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	itter form for appeal by materially	y reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non	-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) under the will not be entered, or b) under the will be will	will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the aff	idavit or other evidence	is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a ry and was not earlier presented	ppeal and/or appellant fa i. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims aft	er entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap	er No(s)	
		X	
•	•	KARL EASTHOM	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitaions in claim 9 create new issues, and also the add limitations in claim 11 create new issues with respect to the dependent claims, since these new combinations have never been considered...